

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (1 of 8)

State Participant-Centered Service Plan Title:
Individual Service Plan

- a. **Responsibility for Service Plan Development.** Per 42 CFR §441.301(b)(2), specify who is responsible for the development of the service plan and the qualifications of these individuals (*select each that applies*):

Registered nurse, licensed to practice in the State

Licensed practical or vocational nurse, acting within the scope of practice under State law

Licensed physician (M.D. or D.O)

Case Manager (qualifications specified in Appendix C-1/C-3)

Case Manager (qualifications not specified in Appendix C-1/C-3).

Specify qualifications:

Social Worker

Specify qualifications:

Other

Specify the individuals and their qualifications:

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (2 of 8)

- b. **Service Plan Development Safeguards.** *Select one:*

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that service plan development is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:*

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (3 of 8)

- c. **Supporting the Participant in Service Plan Development.** Specify: (a) the supports and information that are made available to the participant (and/or family or legal representative, as appropriate) to direct and be actively engaged in the service plan development process and (b) the participant's authority to determine who is included in the process.

The person centered service plan is developed and completed with the member and their interdisciplinary team consisting of the member, case manager, service providers, and other persons the member chooses. The information is available to the member in the information packets they receive when they apply for the waiver. In addition, for

those choosing the self direction option, member will also work with an Independent Support Broker to assist with development of the independent budget.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (4 of 8)

- d. Service Plan Development Process.** In four pages or less, describe the process that is used to develop the participant-centered service plan, including: (a) who develops the plan, who participates in the process, and the timing of the plan; (b) the types of assessments that are conducted to support the service plan development process, including securing information about participant needs, preferences and goals, and health status; (c) how the participant is informed of the services that are available under the waiver; (d) how the plan development process ensures that the service plan addresses participant goals, needs (including health care needs), and preferences; (e) how waiver and other services are coordinated; (f) how the plan development process provides for the assignment of responsibilities to implement and monitor the plan; and, (g) how and when the plan is updated, including when the participant's needs change. State laws, regulations, and policies cited that affect the service plan development process are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):

The Case Management Comprehensive Assessment Tool is used to determine the level of Care (LOC) of the member. The Case Management Comprehensive Assessment Tool is initially completed by the Iowa Medicaid Enterprise Medical Services nurse reviewers and sent into IME Medical service for a LOC determination. Annually thereafter the Case Management Comprehensive Assessment Tool is completed by the member's case manager and sent in to IME Medical Services for the continued stay review. The case manager receives the level of care determination from Iowa Medicaid Enterprise Medical Services. The case manager uses information gathered from the assessment and then works with the member to identify individual and family strengths, needs, capacities, preferences and desired outcomes and health status and risk factors. This is used to identify the scope of services needed. The Interdisciplinary team completes a service plan that identifies the needed services, amount and duration for the member. The service plan is also reevaluated at a minimum annually or when the individual needs change.

The comprehensive service plan shall be in accordance with 441—subrule 24.4(3) and shall additionally include the following information to assist in evaluating the program:

- a. A listing of all services received by a consumer at the time of waiver program enrollment.
- b. For supported community living:
 - (1) The consumer's living environment at the time of waiver enrollment.
 - (2) The number of hours per day of on-site staff supervision needed by the consumer.
 - (3) The number of other waiver consumers who will live with the consumer in the living unit.
- c. An identification and justification of any restriction of a consumer's rights including, but not limited to:
 - (1) Maintenance of personal funds.
 - (2) Self-administration of medications.
- d. The names of all providers responsible for providing all services.
- e. All service funding sources.
- f. The amount of the service to be received by the consumer.
- g. Whether the consumer has elected the consumer choices option and, if so:
 - (1) The independent support broker selected by the consumer; and
 - (2) The financial management service selected by the consumer.
- h. A plan for emergencies and identification of the supports available to the consumer in an emergency.

The case manager informs the member and Interdisciplinary Team of all available non-Medicaid and Medicaid services including waiver services. The case manager will also discuss with the member and team the self-direction option and give the member the option of self-directing services available. The member and the Interdisciplinary Team choose services and supports that meet the member's needs and preferences. This becomes part of the service plan. The service plan shall include:

- 1) All Medicaid and non-Medicaid services and supports
- 2) The funding source for each service and support
- 3) The name of the service provider responsible for providing the service
- 4) Who is responsible for implementing each goal on the plan. The responsibilities of the member, case manager, providers and others involved in the service plan will be outlined

- 5) Time frames and frequency for each service
- 6) Health and safety concerns, including an emergency backup plan

The case manager will be responsible for coordination, monitoring and overseeing the implementation of the service plan including Medicaid and non-Medicaid services. The service plan is reevaluated at a minimum annually or when the member's needs change.

If a member chooses to self direct, the member, with the help of a case manager, will identify the independent support broker. This selection will also become part of the service plan. The independent support broker helps the member plan for their individual budget, which is independent of the plan developed by the case manager.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (5 of 8)

- e. **Risk Assessment and Mitigation.** Specify how potential risks to the participant are assessed during the service plan development process and how strategies to mitigate risk are incorporated into the service plan, subject to participant needs and preferences. In addition, describe how the service plan development process addresses backup plans and the arrangements that are used for backup.

During the evaluation/reevaluation of level of care, risks are assessed using the Home and Comprehensive Functional Assessment Tool. A summary of the assessment becomes part of the person centered service plan. The case manager, the member and the Interdisciplinary Team address any risks as part of the service plan development and create a plan to mitigate risks including designating appropriate service providers who are available to reduce risk.

Personal Emergency Response and Portable Locator Services are available services under the waiver and it is encouraged that these service be used as part of emergency back up plan when a scheduled support worker does not appear. Other providers may be listed on the service plan as source of back up as well. All members choosing the self direction option will sign an individual risk agreement that permits the participant to acknowledge and accept certain responsibilities for addressing risks.

The member is informed of their choice of providers when the services plan is developed. All available qualified providers are identified to the member and their Interdisciplinary Team. Members are encouraged to meet with the available providers before choosing a provider. Members are not restricted to choosing providers within their local community. Information about qualified and accessible providers is available to members through their case managers, service workers, and the IME website.D-1g

The IME has developed a computer program named the Individualized Services Information System (ISIS) to support HCBS programs. This system assists the Medicaid agency and the case manager with tracking information, and monitoring and approving the service plan. Through ISIS, the case manager authorizes service and service payments on behalf of the member. There are certain points in ISIS process that require contacting the designated Iowa Department of Human Services central office personnel. The case manager is responsible for the development the service plan and the service plan is authorized through ISIS, which is the Medicaid Agency. (Refer to appendix A and H for ISIS system processes.)

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (6 of 8)

- f. **Informed Choice of Providers.** Describe how participants are assisted in obtaining information about and selecting from among qualified providers of the waiver services in the service plan.

The member is informed of their choices of providers when the services plan is developed. All available qualified providers are identified to the member and their interdisciplinary team. Members are encouraged to meet with the available providers before choosing a provider. Members are not restricted to choosing providers within their community. Information about qualified and accessible providers is available to members through their service workers.

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (7 of 8)

- g. Process for Making Service Plan Subject to the Approval of the Medicaid Agency.** Describe the process by which the service plan is made subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency in accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i):

The Iowa Department of Human Services has developed a computer program named the Individualized Services Information System (ISIS) to support waiver programs. This system assists the Medicaid Department with tracking information, and monitoring the service plan. This system requires the case manager to give the authority to make payments on behalf of the member. There are certain points in ISIS process that require contacting the designated Iowa Department of Human Services central office personnel. The Case Manager is responsible for the development the service plan and the service plan is authorized through ISIS which is the Medicaid Agency. (Refer to appendix A and H for ISIS system process)

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-1: Service Plan Development (8 of 8)

- h. Service Plan Review and Update.** The service plan is subject to at least annual periodic review and update to assess the appropriateness and adequacy of the services as participant needs change. Specify the minimum schedule for the review and update of the service plan:

Every three months or more frequently when necessary

Every six months or more frequently when necessary

Every twelve months or more frequently when necessary

Other schedule

Specify the other schedule:

- i. Maintenance of Service Plan Forms.** Written copies or electronic facsimiles of service plans are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required by 45 CFR §92.42. Service plans are maintained by the following (*check each that applies*):

Medicaid agency

Operating agency

Case manager

Other

Specify:

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

D-2: Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring

- a. Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring.** Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsible for monitoring the implementation of the service plan and participant health and welfare; (b) the monitoring and follow-up method(s) that are used; and, (c) the frequency with which monitoring is performed.

During the evaluation/reevaluation of level of care, risks are assessed using the Comprehensive Functional Assessment tool. A summary of the assessment becomes part of the person centered service plan. The case manager, the member and the Interdisciplinary Team address any risks as part of the service plan development and create a plan to mitigate risks including designating appropriate service providers who are available to reduce risk.

Brain Injury Waiver case managers are responsible for monitoring the member's health, safety and welfare. They are also responsible for the following:

1. Monitoring service utilization
2. Making a face-to-face visit to the member at least quarterly and a collateral contact concerning the member at least monthly. In addition, case managers must make at least one contact per month with the member, member's representative, member's family, or service provider. IAC 90.5 states the following: The case manager shall perform activities and make contacts that are necessary to ensure the health, safety, and welfare of the member and to ensure that the service plan is effectively implemented and adequately addresses the needs of the member.
3. Developing, approving and revising the service plan in coordination with the interdisciplinary team at least annually or as member's needs change. If services have not met the member's needs, the plan is changed to meet those needs. The effectiveness of the emergency backup plan is also addressed as the service plan is developed and revised.

The member, or their legally responsible guardian, is encouraged during the time of the service plan development to call the Case Manager if there are any problems with either Medicaid or non-Medicaid services. The CM is responsible for conducting the assessment of need and identifying and authorizing services to get needs met. If there is a change in the member's need at any time, the member should be communicating with the CM to address the identified need. CMs are required to have quarterly face-to-face meetings with a member and monthly collateral contacts. This is designed to allow regular communication for any changing needs that may arise. The Case Manager will follow up to address any service related issues. Monitoring service utilization includes verifying:

1. That the member used a waiver service, at a minimum of once a calendar quarter
2. That the services were provided in accordance with the individual service plan
3. That the member is receiving the level of service needed.

The member will first address service planning problems with the CM working with directly with the member. CM units have processes in place to responds to member problems and complaints. CM units have a formal grievance process, with actions steps and timelines for response, in place to address any disagreements that cannot be resolved at the CM level. All adverse actions taken by a CM may be appealed by the member according to DHS appeal process in Ch. 7 and identified on all NODs with adverse actions.

The Iowa Department of Human Services has developed a computer program, the Individualized Services Information System (ISIS), to support waiver programs. This system assists the Medicaid Department and the case manager by tracking information, monitoring services, assuring services were provided, and authorizing payments on behalf of the member. The case manager has access to a variety of tools in ISIS to assist with monitoring, including report functionality and claims queries. Many of these tools can be used for a quick review or to generate a report spanning a time frame. ISIS can be accessed as frequently as desired by the case manager. ISIS allows case managers to view service plans both current and past, and paid claims history as related to accessed services. If the member is not receiving the services according to the plan of care or the services are not addressing the assessed needs, the case manager will contact the member and IDT in order to address the situation.

The Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health and Disability Services (MHDS), is responsible for the oversight of case management services. MHDS personnel regularly reviews all case management entities throughout the state in accordance with the case management standards established in Iowa Administrative Code 441 - 24.4(9) and 441-90.1(249A). Case management reviews assure that case management services are provided according to measureable standards. Deficiencies that are identified during reviews will require corrective actions plans be developed by the case management unit to come into compliance with the case management standards.

The IME Medical Services Unit and the HCBS Specialists monitor service plans during the home and community based services quality assurance review process. During interviews, members are asked about their choice of providers and whether or not the services meet their needs. Emergency backup plans are reviewed for effectiveness.

Monitoring results are compiled by the quality assurance manager. This information is used to make recommendations for improvements and training..

b. Monitoring Safeguards. *Select one:*

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation and participant health and welfare may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation and participant health and welfare may provide other direct waiver services to the participant.

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that monitoring is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify:*

Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

Quality Improvement: Service Plan

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Service Plan Assurance/Sub-assurances

The state demonstrates it has designed and implemented an effective system for reviewing the adequacy of service plans for waiver participants.

i. Sub-Assurances:

- a. Sub-assurance: Service plans address all participants' assessed needs (including health and safety risk factors) and personal goals, either by the provision of waiver services or through other means.**

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-1a: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which address the member's assessed health risks. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans addressing assessed health risks Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified

Contracted entity		Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-2a: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which address the member's assessed safety risks. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans addressing assessed safety risks Denominator = # of reviewed service plans

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review

Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-3a: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which reflect the member's assessed personal goals. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans reflecting assessed personal goals Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (<i>check each that applies</i>):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (<i>check each that applies</i>):
	Specify:

b. Sub-assurance: The State monitors service plan development in accordance with its policies and procedures.

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-1b: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which include signature of member on the service plan. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans with member signature Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (<i>check each that applies</i>):	Frequency of data collection/generation (<i>check each that applies</i>):	Sampling Approach (<i>check each that applies</i>):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	<p>Other Specify:</p>	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-2b: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which list all services received by the member. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans listing all services Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%

Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

**SP-3b: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which list all of the member's providers. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans listing all providers
Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.**

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
	Weekly	100% Review

State Medicaid Agency		
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-4b: Number and percent of service plans reviewed in which all funding sources are listed. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans listing all funding sources Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i>	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i>
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-5b: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which list the amount of services to be received by the member. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans listing amounts of all services Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i>	Frequency of data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i>	Sampling Approach <i>(check each that applies):</i>
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-6b: Number and percent of service plans reviewed with a plan for supports available to the member in the event of an emergency. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans listing all supports available in event of emergency Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:

	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-7b: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which indicate that the member was presented choice regarding the consumer choices option. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans indicating choice regarding CCO Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample

		Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity5	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

- c. *Sub-assurance: Service plans are updated/revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the waiver participant’s needs.*

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how

themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-1c: Number and percent of service plans which are revised on or before waiver member's annual due date. Numerator = # of service plans revised prior to due date Denominator = # of service plans revisions due.

Data Source (Select one):

Program logs

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Reports are pulled from ISIS to illustrate the number of service plans that were revised prior to the due date. Data is inductively analyzed at a 100% level.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-2c: Number and percent of service plans reviewed which were revised when warranted by a change in the member’s needs. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans revised when warranted by change in need Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:

	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

- d. *Sub-assurance: Services are delivered in accordance with the service plan, including the type, scope, amount, duration and frequency specified in the service plan.*

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-1d: Number and percent of member surveys reporting the receipt of all services identified in the plan. Numerator = # of survey respondents reporting receipt of all services in service plan Denominator = # of survey respondents.

Data Source (Select one):

Analyzed collected data (including surveys, focus group, interviews, etc)

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

The IPES survey is conducted at a 95% confidence level and responses recorded in a database. Data is pulled and inductively analyzed.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i>	Frequency of data collection/generation <i>(check each that applies):</i>	Sampling Approach <i>(check each that applies):</i>
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i>	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i>
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-2d: Number and percent of service plan reviewed reporting the receipt of all services identified in the plan. Numerator = # of reviewed service plans reporting receipt of all services Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other	Annually

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (<i>check each that applies</i>):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (<i>check each that applies</i>):
Specify:	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

- e. **Sub-assurance: Participants are afforded choice: Between waiver services and institutional care; and between/among waiver services and providers.**

Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance (or sub-assurance), complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance Measure:

SP-1e: Number and percent of members whose enrollment indicates that a choice was offered between waiver services and institutional care. Numerator = # of member enrollments indicating choice between waiver services and institutional care Denominator = # of member enrollments.

Data Source (Select one):

Program logs

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Data is pulled from ISIS reports to indicate that the milestone was affirmed by the case manager that choice was offered between waiver/institutional care. Data inductively analyzed.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (<i>check each that applies</i>):	Frequency of data collection/generation (<i>check each that applies</i>):	Sampling Approach (<i>check each that applies</i>):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval =

Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-2e: Number and percent of experience/satisfaction survey respondents who indicate that they received a choice of waiver providers. Numerator = # of survey respondents indicating choice of provider Denominator = # of survey respondents.

Data Source (Select one):

Analyzed collected data (including surveys, focus group, interviews, etc)

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

The IPES survey is conducted at a 95% confidence level and responses recorded in a database. Data is pulled and inductively analyzed.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
	Weekly	100% Review

State Medicaid Agency		
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify: Contracted entity	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

Performance Measure:

SP-3e: Number and percent of case manager attestations found in service plans that provider choice was offered to the member during service plan development.
Numerator = # of reviewed service plans with case manager attestations

indicating choice of provider was offered to the member Denominator = # of reviewed service plans.

Data Source (Select one):

Record reviews, off-site

If 'Other' is selected, specify:

Member service plans are reviewed at a 95% confidence level on a three year cycle. Data is inductively analyzed and reported to the state.

Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Frequency of data collection/generation (check each that applies):	Sampling Approach (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly	100% Review
Operating Agency	Monthly	Less than 100% Review
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly	Representative Sample Confidence Interval = 5%
Other Specify:	Annually	Stratified Describe Group:
	Continuously and Ongoing	Other Specify:
	Other Specify:	

Data Aggregation and Analysis:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis(check each that applies):
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

- ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

The Medical Services Unit utilizes criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. Development of a mechanism to collect service worker remediation request response is in development.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider trainings, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problems

- i. Describe the State’s method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

The Medical Services Unit utilized criteria to grade each reviewed service plan component. If it is determined that the service plan does not meet the standards for component(s), the case manager is notified of deficiency and expectations for remediation. Development of a mechanism to collect service worker remediation request response is in development.

The HCBS Quality Oversight Unit has identified questions and answers that demand additional attention. These questions are considered urgent in nature and are flagged for follow-up. Based on the responses to these flagged questions, the HCBS interviewer performs education to the member at the time of the interview and requests additional information and remediation from the case manager.

General methods for problem correction at a systemic level include informational letters, provider trainings, collaboration with stakeholders and changes in policy.

- ii. **Remediation Data Aggregation**

Remediation-related Data Aggregation and Analysis (including trend identification)

Responsible Party(check each that applies):	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis (check each that applies):
State Medicaid Agency	Weekly
Operating Agency	Monthly
Sub-State Entity	Quarterly
Other Specify:	Annually

Responsible Party <i>(check each that applies):</i>	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis <i>(check each that applies):</i>
Contracted entities	
	Continuously and Ongoing
	Other Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Service Plans that are currently non-operational.

No

Yes

Please provide a detailed strategy for assuring Service Plans, the specific timeline for implementing identified strategies, and the parties responsible for its operation.